

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/056,233 | 12/03/2001 | Mark A. Gummin | 6100 8957 | |
| 7590 12/01/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| HOWARD COHEN | | | JONES, JUDSON | |
| 1105 THE ALA BERKELEY, O | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2834 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/01/2001 | , |

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | hi/ | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 10/056,233 | GUMMIN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Judson H Jones | 2834 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 (| October 2003. | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 13-34 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-34</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 May 2002</u> is/are: a |)⊠ accepted or b) \square objected to l | by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language principles. The priority documents are ference was included in the first sentence of the | ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not received it priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(c) is sentence of the specification or covisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 | on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific | | | |
| , | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 13-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,326,707 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 13 adds the limitation to contract ALL OF said shape memory components to the language of '707 claim 1. The language in claim 1 would read on either all of the components or some of the components. Claim 14 adds the limitation of at least three sub-modules instead of a plurality of sub-modules as recited in claim 1 of the '707 patent. Three is a plurality. Claim 15 is like claim 1 of the '707 patent except claim 15 refers to a plurality of shape memory wires instead of a plurality of shape memory components. Claim 16 includes a rewording of the limitations of claim 2 of the '707 patent and adds the limitation that the movement is solely in a first direction. Claim 17 includes the return means from claim 5 of the '707 patent while adding the limitation of a first direction. Claim 18 includes the limitations of the '707 patent claim 2

Application/Control Number: 10/056,233

Art Unit: 2834

while adding the limitation of movement in a first direction and the limitation of contracting all of the shape memory components.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-34 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or teach the linear actuator having a plurality of submodules of shape memory elements including plate-like bars where the bars extend in a first direction in a parallel, stacked relationship in combination with the other features of claim 19.

The '707 patent recites drive bars in claim 30 but does not recite a parallel, stacked relationship.

The prior art of record does not disclose or teach a linear actuator having a plurality of submodules of shape memory wires, a plurality of elongated bars and a plurality of plate members stacked on top of each other where the plates move relative to each other in combination with the other features of claims 30 and 34.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judson H Jones whose telephone number is 703-308-0115. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Burt Mullins can be reached on 703-305-7063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3431. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JHJ 11/24/2003

BURTON S. MULLINS

Page 3